

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

DATE/TIME : JULY 27, 2001 DEPT. NO : 17
JUDGE : ROLAND CANDEE CLERK : S. BROWN
REPORTER : D. HERNANDEZ, 11737 BAILIFF : M. CROSBY

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD CASES,
Plaintiff,

PRESENT:
SEE ATTACHED MAILING LIST

Case No.: JC4118

Nature of Proceedings: HEARING ON FURTHER DEMURRERS

(9:30 a.m.)The above-entitled matter returned before this court for hearing on further demurrers with the parties present as indicated on the attached mailing list.

The following tentative rulings were confirmed or made in open court:

1. Motion for Dismissal filed by New Stone Water District 6/5/05. No opposition. RULING: Motion Granted.
2. Motion for Dismissal filed by City of Avenal 6/26/01. No opposition. RULING: Motion Granted.
3. Motion for Dismissal filed by Arvin-Edison Water Storage District and Del Puerto Water District 6/26/01. No opposition. RULING: Motion Granted.
4. Motion for Dismissal filed by Reclamation District 2064 6/26/01. No opposition. RULING: Motion Granted.
5. State Water Contractors, et al. Stipulation for Dismissal. RULING: Dismissal Granted.
6. Motions to Intervene:
**Santa Clara Valley Water District Motion for Leave to Intervene in Anderson, et al. Action.
**Central Delta Water Agency Motion for Leave to Intervene in Anderson, et al. Action.

BOOK : 17
PAGE :
DATE : JULY 27, 2001
CASE NO. : JC4118
CASE TITLE : STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL
BOARD CASES

Superior Court of California,
County of Sacramento

BY: S. BROWN,
Deputy Clerk

CASE NUMBER: JC4118

DEPARTMENT: 17

CASE TITLE: STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD CASES

PROCEEDINGS: HEARING ON FURTHER DEMURRERS

****Central Delta Water Agency Motion for Leave to Intervene in San Luis Water District Action.**

****Central Delta Water District Agency Motion for Leave to Intervene in Santa Clara Water District Action.**

****Central Delta Water Agency Motion for Leave to Intervene in Westlands Water District Action.**

****Santa Clara Valley Water District Motion for Leave to Intervene in San Luis Action.**

RULING: The Court has already granted all parties to any of the coordinated cases the right to brief and argue issues in all of the coordinated cases. Accordingly, the only substantive right of issue remaining concerning intervention would be the right to appeal a judgment. The Court believes that any disputes as to whether any particular judgment will adversely affect a party so as to support intervention should be more clearly evident after the cases have been litigated. Accordingly, the Court's takes all six motions for leave to intervene under submission for ruling on prior to any final judgment in any affected case.

Oral arguments were heard as to the 4th, 5th and 6th causes of action in the Anderson Demurrer with Clifford Lee, DAG for Respondent; John Smith, Esq. for Petitioner Anderson; and Dan O'Hanlon, Esq. for Petitioner Westland Water District, present. After arguments, the matter was taken under submission.

(10:20 a.m.) The Court stood in recess.

BOOK : 17
PAGE :
DATE : JULY 27, 2001
CASE NO. : JC4118
CASE TITLE : STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD CASES

Superior Court of California,
County of Sacramento

BY: S. BROWN,
Deputy Clerk